UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,790	02/25/2004	Hironori Kobayashi	CU-3608	3721
26530 LADAS & PAF	7590 10/19/201 RRY LLP	EXAMINER		
224 SOUTH M	ICHIGAN AVENUE	ANDERSON, JAMES D		
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2010	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/786,790	KOBAYASHI, HIRONORI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JAMES D. ANDERSON	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ TI     Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 5 and 23-27 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5 and 23-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the	rawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  iner. ccepted or b) □ objected to by the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Examiner. Note the attached Office	s Action of Ionn't 10-132.			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/18/2010.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Formal Matters

Applicants' response and amendments to the claims, filed 8/20/2010, are acknowledged and entered. Claims 5 and 23-27 are pending and under examination.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments, filed 8/20/2010, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

### Information Disclosure Statement

Receipt is acknowledged of the Information Disclosure Statement filed 5/18/2010. The Examiner has considered the references cited therein to the extent that each is a proper citation. Please see the attached USPTO Form 1449.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 - New Ground of Rejection

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Kobayashi** *et al*. (EP 0 932 081 A1; Published July 28, 1999) (cited by Applicant in IDS filed 4/12/2007) in view of **Kobayashi** (USP No. 5,880,227; Issued Mar. 9, 1999) and **Yoichi** *et al*. (JP 2000-053421; Published February 22, 2000) (cited by Applicant in IDS filed 4/12/2007).<sup>1</sup>

Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

### **Claimed Invention**

The claims are drawn to a method of producing a coating solution for forming a wettability-varied pattern, comprising preparing a neutral solution of titanium oxide, which contains titanium oxide and an alkyl silicate having the formula  $Si_nO_{n-1}(OR)_{2n+2}$ , wherein R is an alkyl group, preparing a solution of hydrolyzed fluoroalkylsilane having the formula  $Y_nSiX_{(4-n)}$ , wherein Y is a fluoralkyl group, X is alkoxy, acetyl, or halogen, and n is 0 to 3 in an acidic condition, adjusting the pH of the solution of hydrolyzed fluoroalkylsilane, and mixing the neutral sol solution of titanium dioxide with the solution of hydrolyzed fluoroalkylsilane, whose pH is adjusted to 5 to 7, and wherein the pH of the coating solution is in a range of 5 to 9.

## Teachings of Kobayashi et al. ('081)

Kobayashi *et al.* teach methods of varying the wettability of layers on a substrate comprising applying a photocatalyst material (page 31,  $\P$  [0286]). The photocatalyst material is preferably titanium oxide (page 31,  $\P$  [0288]).

The photocatalyst-containing layer may also contain a binder, preferably the instantly claimed polysiloxane containing a fluoroalkyl group, specifically hydrolysis condensates of fluoroalkylsilanes (page 32,  $\P$  [0295]). It is noted that the polysiloxanes containing fluoralkyl groups listed on pages 32-33 of Kobayashi meet the limitations of the claimed hydrolyzed fluoralkylsilanes (*e.g.*,  $CF_3(CF_2)_3CH_2CH_2Si(OCH_3)_3$ ). In fact, Kobayshi explicitly teaches organopolysiloxanes composed mainly of a hydrolysis condensate of compounds represented by formula  $Y_nSiX_{(4-n)}$ , wherein Y is an alkyl, fluoralkyl, vinyl, amino, or epoxy group, X is methoxy, ethoxy, acetyl, or halogen, and n is 1 to 3 ([0293]).

Kobayashi teaches that the use of polysiloxanes containing fluoroalkyl groups as binders results in markedly improved water repellency and oil repellency of the photocatalyst-containing layer (page 33, ¶ [0296]).

With respect to mixing a solution of titanium oxide and fluoroalkylsilicate as recited in claim 5, Kobayashi teaches that the photocatalyst (*e.g.*, titanium oxide) and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A machine translation of Yoichi was provided in the Office Action mailed 3/25/2008

Art Unit: 1614

binder (*e.g.*, fluoroalkylsilicate) are "dispersed in a solvent to prepare a coating liquid" ([0332]).

The Kobayashi *et al.* reference does not teach preparing the solution of hydrolyzed fluoroalkylsilane in an acidic condition or a solution of titanium dioxide containing an alkyl silicate or that the solution is at a neutral pH.

#### Teachings of Kobayashi et al. ('227)

Kobayashi '227 teaches a water-repellent silicone composition (Abstract and Column 1 Lines 7-10) comprising a fluorosilicone resin formed from a (co)hydrolysis condensate of fluoroalkylsilane wherein the hydrolyzed fluoroalkylsilane is prepared strongly acidic because the hydrolysis is conducted by reacting the fluoroalkylsilane with a strong acid in an acidic solution (Column 3 Lines 12-41). Thus Kobayashi '227 teaches that hydrolyzed polysiloxanes, specifically fluoroalkylsilanes, solutions are prepared strongly acid so that hydrolysis can take place.

### <u>Teachings of Yoshi et al.</u>

Yoichi *et al.* teach methods of preparing a titanium oxide solution having superior dispersibility <u>in a neutral pH range</u> for use as a <u>photocatalyst</u>, a catalyst, catalyst support, adsorbent, an ultraviolet ray absorbent, paint, or bulking agent (Abstract). A titanium oxide solution is mixed with an alkyl silicate as a dispersion stabilizer and the resultant mixture is <u>neutralized</u> to prepare the objective titanium oxide solution (*id.*).

With respect to the claimed alkyl silicates, Yoichi teaches alkyl silicates having the same structure as those claimed ([0009] of provided machine translation).

With respect to the pH of 5 to 9 as recited in claim 5 and the claimed weight ratio of 0.7 to 10 as recited in claim 24, Yoichi teach the same pH range and weight ratio as claimed (Abstract; [0006] of provided machine translation).

With respect to diluting with a hydrophilic solvent as recited in claims 26 and 27, Yoichi teaches that the titanium oxide sol and/or the alkyl silicate of the invention can be

Art Unit: 1614

blended with a hydrophilic solvent and still maintain excellent dispersion stability ([0010] and [0014] of provided machine translation).

The titanium oxide/alkyl silicate solutions taught in Yoichi are further taught to be useful as photocatalysts as also taught by Kobayashi *et al.* ([0019] of provided machine translation).

Yoichi does not teach adding a fluoroalkyl silane to the titanium oxide/alkyl silicate solutions taught therein.

### **Principles of Law**

The question of obviousness is resolved on the basis of underlying factual determinations including: (1) the scope and content of the prior art; (2) the level of ordinary skill in the art; (3) the differences between the claimed invention and the prior art; and (4) secondary considerations of nonobviousness, if any. *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 17 (1966). The Supreme Court has emphasized that "the [obviousness] analysis need not seek out precise teachings directed to the specific subject matter of the challenged claim, for a court can take account of the inferences and creative steps that a person of ordinary skill in the art would employ." *KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 550 U.S. 398, 418 (2007).

### Analysis & Examiner's Determination of Obviousness

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of mixing titanium oxide and an alkyl silicate at a neutral pH as taught in Yoichi *et al.*, to improve the dispersibility of the titanium oxide in the fluoralkylsilane-containing solutions of Kobayashi *et al.* The resulting coating solution would predictably have increased dispersibility at a neutral pH, which would clearly aid in applying said containing coating solutions to a substrate so as to induce varied wettability as taught in Kobayashi *et al.* 

With respect to the pH of the solution of hydrolyzed fluoroalkylsilane solution, Kobayashi et al. ('227) teach that in the preparation of hydrolyzed fluoroalkylsilane

Art Unit: 1614

solutions, hydrolyzed fluoroalkylsilane is prepared strongly acidic because the hydrolysis is conducted by reacting the fluoroalkylsilane with a strong acid in an acidic solution. Furthermore, Yoichi teach solutions of titanium oxide and alkyl silicates having a **final pH of 5 to 9**. As such, it would be obvious to prepare an acidic solution of fluoroalkylsilanes in order to prepare hydrolyzed fluoroalkylsilanes and to adjust the pH after hydrolysis has occurred so that when mixed with the titanium dioxide solutions taught in Yoshi the final solution has a pH of 5 to 9.

With respect to the ratio of neutral sol solution of titanium oxide to the solution of hydrolyzed fluoralkylsilane as recited in claim 23, no unobviousness is seen in varying the ratio of these solutions. While Kobayashi does not explicitly teach a ratio of titanium oxide to fluoralkylsilane, the reference does teach that the photocatalyst (*e.g.*, titanium oxide) in the photocatalyst-containing layer is preferably 5 to 60% by weight, more preferably 20 to 40% by weight ([0291]). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to add a binder such as a fluoralkylsilane as taught in Kobayashi in a range of 95 to 40% by weight, more preferably 80 to 60% by weight (*i.e.*, the remaining weight percentage), which amount falls within the ratio of 1:0.1 to 1 as recited in claim 23 (titanium oxide:fluoralkylsilane). It is noted that Example C-1 of Kobayashi provides a solution comprising 2 g of an inorganic coating composition for a photocatalyst and 0.3 g of a fluoroalkylsilane, *i.e.*, a ratio of 1:0.15 ([0562], and Example D-1 of Kobayashi provides a solution comprising 2 g titanium oxide and 0.15 g of a fluoroalkylsilane, *i.e.*, a ratio of 1:0.075 ([0595]).

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant traverses the instant rejection, stating that it is necessary to hydrolyze a siloxane compound in acidic condition in order to obtain fluoroalkylsilane. The Examiner agrees with this statement, as this is precisely what is taught by Kobayashi et al. ('227). Applicant argues that the method of producing a coating solution recited in the amended claim 5 attains the effect of producing a coating solution without destroying a state where titanium dioxide is finely dispersed by adjusting the fluoroalkylsilane produced in an acidic condition to neutral first and then mixing with the separately

Art Unit: 1614

prepared neutral sol solution of titanium dioxide. Applicant argues that none of the prior art teaches or suggests the adjustment of the fluoroalkylsilane prepared in the acidic condition to neutral.

Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but they are not persuasive. The cited prior art teaches, suggests, and motivates solutions of a fluoroalkylsilane and titanium dioxide. The prior art teaches solutions of hydrolyzed fluoroalkylsilanes and a photocatalyst (e.g., titanium dioxide). The prior art teaches methods of preparing a titanium oxide solution having superior dispersibility in a neutral pH range for use as a photocatalyst. The prior art teaches that in the preparation of solutions of hydrolyzed fluoroalkylsilanes, solutions are prepared strongly acid so that hydrolysis can take place.

As such, no unobviousness is seen in Applicant's claimed method of preparing an acidic solution of fluoroalkylsilanes, neutralizing said solution, and mixing the neutral solution of hydrolyzed fluoroalkylsilanes with a neutral solution of titanium dioxide such that the final pH of the mixed solution is in the range of 5 to 9. The skilled artisan would recognize that if an acidic solution is mixed with a neutral solution, the final pH of the mixed solution would be acidic. As such, the skilled artisan would find it obvious to first adjust the pH of the acidic solution to neutral *prior to* mixing with another neutral solution in order to make a solution having a final pH in the range of 5 to 9.

Accordingly, the claims are deemed properly rejected for the reasons of record and as reiterated above.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/786,790 Page 8

Art Unit: 1614

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES D. ANDERSON whose telephone number is (571)272-9038. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI 9:00 am - 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James D. Anderson/

James D. Anderson, Ph.D.

Primary Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE 400 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314-5774

Alexandria, VA 22314-5//2 Tel. No.: (571) 272-9038